

# Proletarian Era

## Country Observes Protest Day on 5 October against brutal killing of peasants in Lakhimpur Kheri, Uttar Pradesh



Singhu border, Delhi



Jajpur, Odisha



Bangalore, Karnataka

(More photos and news of Country wide 'Protest Day' on 5 October 2021, on page -8)

### AIKKMS severely condemned brutal killing of protesting peasants in UP

Comrades Satyawan and Sankar Ghosh, President and General Secretary respectively of All India Kisan Khet Mazdoor Sangathan (AIKKMS), in a statement issued on 3 October 2021, strongly protested and severely condemned the brutal murder of three peasants and grievous injuries to several other peasants including Sardar Tejender Singh Virk, a prominent leader of Sanjukta Kisan Morcha (SKM) at Lakhimpur Kheri of Uttar Pradesh on 3 October 2021 where the peasants had gathered to protest against visit of Union Minister of State for Home Affairs Ajay Mishra "Teni" who earlier had late last month threatened the peasants fighting against Black Farm Laws and privatization of electricity that 'it would take just two minutes to make them fall in line'. While one peasant was killed by direct firing by the son of minister Mishra, two others were mowed down by the ministers' convoy. Clearly, it was a barbaric killing by the minister, his son and uncle as well as the BJP goons.

AIKKMS demanded to register criminal cases against the said minister and his people immediately and dismissal of the minister from the union cabinet with immediate effect.

AIKKMS severely condemned the Haryana Chief Minister Manohar Lal Khattar's violent intent to brazenly encourage his men to pick up lathis and attack the struggling farmers and demanded of the BJP CM to apologize immediately and resign from his constitutional post.

AIKKMS also called upon the people of the country to observe an all India protest day on 5 October against the ghastly murder of the peasants and to foil the fascist design of the RSS-BJP combine to crush the heroic ongoing historic peasants' movement.

Incidentally, Sanjukta Kisan Morcha of which AIKKMS is an important constituent, has submitted a memorandum to the President of India drawing his attention to the planned violence being orchestrated against the 'Annadata' peasants by the BJP ministers abusing their constitutional positions and giving a 4-point demand which included immediate removal of the Minister Ajay Mishra and CM Khattar from their posts, arrest of the minister's culprit son and his criminal associates and a due enquiry into the incident by a Special Investigation Team (SIT)

## Let us collectively take vow to struggle hard to fulfil the dream of Comrade Shibdas Ghosh

Provash Ghosh

[First instalment of the speech delivered in English online by Comrade Provash Ghosh, General Secretary, SUCI(C), on 13 August 2021 on the occasion of observance of 45th Memorial Day of Comrade Shibdas Ghosh, Founder General Secretary of SUCI (C), our leader, teacher and guide and an outstanding Marxist thinker of the era, was published in the Proletarian Era Vol. 55 No 4, dated 1 October 2021. This is the second and final instalment.]

#### Blunders of undivided CPI

The undivided CPI, all through got support from the Soviet Party and Chinese Party. Comrade Shibdas Ghosh had to face this question from the CPI people who asked him: 'Do you accept Stalin as your leader? Do you accept Mao Zedong as your leader?' Comrade Shibdas Ghosh answered: 'Yes. They are my leaders; they are my

teachers.' Then they said: 'They consider our party as a communist party. But you don't accept our party as a communist party. So you are not following Stalin and Mao Zedong.' Many left minded common people also raised this point. Comrade Shibdas Ghosh answered: 'They are my leaders. But they do not know the real character of your party. You

misinformed them and due to that, out of wrong understanding, they are giving support to your party.' That was the answer. Many honest people supported Marxism-Leninism and Communism. Particularly after the success of Soviet Socialist revolution, many intellectuals, educated people, considered Communism, Marxism as a great ideology and considered the CPI as

a communist party as it was supported by the Soviet Union and the Chinese party. So, they joined the CPI, supported the CPI. The CPI was a big party at that time. So, it was very difficult a struggle to prove that the CPI was not a real communist party. Comrade Shibdas Ghosh lived until mid-1976. He never got support from any

Contd. on page 2

---

**Comrade Provash Ghosh's speech**


---

## Comrade Shibdas Ghosh showed that Stalin's understanding of Leninism is the correct understanding of Marxism-Leninism

*Contd. from page 1*

international leadership. In spite of that, being loyal to the international leadership, he followed Marxism-Leninism correctly. Moreover, in India, bourgeois parliamentary politics had long tradition of influencing the people's mind. Trade unions had been guided by the bourgeois parties and social democratic CPI and later the CPI(M) for long. This made the workers opportunist, non-militant, victims of economism and apolitical. Bourgeois individualism which had become most reactionary made people morally degraded, self-centric and indifferent to social obligations. While building our Party, Comrade Shibdas Ghosh had to face all these serious problems. Regarding the attitude to internationalism, I can tell you what Lenin had said: "...the Social-Democratic movement is in its very essence an international movement. ...movement ...can be successful only if it implements the experience of other countries. And in order to implement this experience, it is not enough merely to be acquainted with it, or simply to transcribe the latest resolutions. What it requires is the ability to treat this experience critically and to test it independently." (What is to be Done) It means only being acquainted with the experiences of other parties or blindly follow those is not internationalism. That means - you must have a critical attitude to study it. And you must have the ability to subject it to independent test. In other words, you should not accept it blindly. Stalin also said the same thing.

There is a dialogue between Romain Rolland and Stalin in 'Voyage to Moscow'. Romain Rolland advised Stalin: "You are quite in the know of how the millions of the Western people regard the Soviet Union. They envisage incarnation of their hopes and ideals on the Soviet Union. But sometimes their thoughts and ideas are very self-contradictory and confused. They expect that the Soviet Union will sort out all of their misgivings." Romain Rolland suggested that Stalin should guide them. Stalin answered: "You said, we should chart out the programme for our Western friends," - meaning Western Communist Parties - "I can't help saying that we hesitate to take up this responsibility. We

cannot do that as it is very difficult to ascertain the duties and responsibility of those who live in a completely different milieu of circumstances. Every country is special in its ambience and characteristics. It amounts to an audacity on the part of Moscow to suggest ways for the people of other countries. We restrict ourselves to general discussion."

### Comrade Shibdas Ghosh warned of mechanical thought process in international communist movement in 1948

That was the approach of Stalin. But, in reality, what had developed internationally in the case of relationship of other communist parties with Soviet and Chinese Communist leaderships? When he was almost a street-dweller, Comrade Ghosh pointed this out way back in 1948 in his historic writing titled 'Self-criticism of the Communist Camp'. With his extraordinary Marxist analytical outlook, Comrade Shibdas Ghosh forewarned:

"While acknowledging with just pride and deference the very many achievements and successes and glorious sacrifices of the world communist movement, we have not failed, even for a moment, to point out the serious shortcomings in it .... These serious shortcomings and defects are largely due to the fact that the present leadership of the world communist camp is, to a very large extent, influenced by mechanical process of thinking .... Whereas it is an accepted Leninist principle that the general international line of revolution in the background of the prevailing international situation, should evolve through interaction of ideas between the communist parties which had developed in different countries on the basis of the concrete social, political and economic conditions pertaining there we, however, have been noticing for a long time that instead this dialectical process being followed for evolving the general international line of revolution, there has developed a practice, a habit, to accept without critical examination the analysis of the international situation by just one or two leading communist parties as the general international line of revolution. This has virtually led to the negation of the necessity of

conflict of ideas in the matter of developing the concept of 'international leadership'. The result was obviously disastrous for the communist parties of different countries." He pointed out another shortcoming in that article, "So far, the communists of different countries have mostly put stress on one-sided routine work of organization without showing any regard to coordinating it with questions of ideology." Then he had shown the real path. "We possess the Marxian science of dialectics - these provide us with the means by which we must test the leadership - be it the Soviet or the Cominform." Otherwise, he said, it would weaken the leadership and make the followers blind. And I can say, from the very beginning Comrade Shibdas Ghosh taught us to respect great Stalin and great Mao Zedong as our teachers. He also considered them as his teachers. But he never followed them blindly. Whenever he felt there were some mistakes on the part of Stalin or Mao Zedong, he pointed out those maintaining full respect for them.

### Comrade Shibdas Ghosh was first to point out revisionist outlook of Khrushchevite leadership

I can tell you, when in the 20th Congress of the CPSU in 1956, Khrushchev attacked Stalin, Comrade Shibdas Ghosh was the first communist leader internationally to protest instantly. All other communist parties remained silent and confused. They were in disarray. It was the single voice of Comrade Shibdas Ghosh who criticized Khrushchev that without placing everything in the international forum, he could not evaluate Stalin because, Stalin was not only the leader of Soviet Union, he was also the leader of the international communist movement. Even in that speech, he pointed out the danger that, "No doubt, this observation of Khrushchev is sure to generate the trend of reformism-revisionism in the communist movement of different countries." (On the Report of the Twentieth Congress of the CPSU). Subsequently, this was proved to be correct.

Further Comrade Shibdas Ghosh said, "Stalin's understanding of Leninism is the correct understanding of

Marxism-Leninism. This understanding has brought the communist movement to its present stature. ... Indeed like his predecessors Marx-Engels and Lenin, Stalin also is an authority on Marxism-Leninism. To blackout Stalin would have the inevitable result of disowning his authority and consequently of rejecting his interpretation of Leninism, which is the present day understanding of Marxism-Leninism. ... It would mean invitation to all sorts of counter-revolutionary ideas to pass for Marxism-Leninism and the ideological foundation of the communist movement would suffer a setback." (On steps taken by the CPSU against Stalin)

### Damaging effect of Khrushchev's attack on Stalin

The present generation of the comrades cannot imagine what was the damaging effect of Khrushchev's attacks on Stalin, not only in the Soviet Union but internationally as well. After great advancement of socialism in the Soviet Union, defeating all external and internal attacks, particularly after the glorious victory of the Red Army over the fascist forces in the Second World War, Stalin enjoyed tremendous respect and popularity not only among the communists, but also among the peace-loving humanists and anti-imperialist fighters world over. So, the sudden slanderous attacks on Stalin by Khrushchev delighted the imperialists-capitalists and at the same time baffled and confused the communists as well as the progressive minded people throughout the world. As our Party was too small and unknown to many at that time, Comrade Shibdas Ghosh's bold, resolute and timely fight in defending Stalin against Khrushchev's slanderous attacks could not reach them. At that time, next to Stalin, Mao Zedong was considered an authority in the international communist movement. Had he played the role as Comrade Shibdas Ghosh did just at that point of time, definitely the situation would have been different. But the Chinese Communist Party (CPC) first supported Khrushchev's criticism, in the main, only with the comment that Stalin's merits were more than his demerits. Then after seven years, the CPC came out openly against Khrushchev's revisionism. But it was too late. By that time, most of

*Contd. on page 3*

---

**Comrade Provash Ghosh's speech**

## Armed with Comrade Shibdas Ghosh's teachings, our Party is not frustrated or confused at sad dismantling of socialism

*Contd. from page 2*

the communist parties blindly accepted Khrushchev's revisionist line and got degenerated. Open conflicts started between the Soviet party and the CPC and the world communist movement was divided into two camps – 'Pro-Moscow' and 'Pro- Beijing'. Then counter-revolution first destroyed socialism in Soviet Russia and East Europe. Mao Zedong, in his old age, had struggled to resist it in China by organizing the historic cultural revolution. But his death deprived him of continuing this struggle and ultimately the capitalist-roaders were successful in dismantling socialism in China. Eventually all the powerful communist parties in different countries, who had a long tradition of struggle and who were divided between pro-Moscow and pro-Beijing lines became disintegrated in utter confusion and frustration. Our Party was never pro-Moscow or pro-Beijing. Comrade Shibdas Ghosh's teachings prepared our mind for these sad developments. We were not frustrated or confused. Our Party not only remained stable but grew speedily.

### Comrade Shibdas Ghosh braved so many odds to build up SUCI(C)

So, Comrades, while building up this Party, Comrade Shibdas Ghosh faced many obstacles. Some of those might be comparable with what Lenin, Stalin and Mao Zedong had faced, while some might not be comparable as conditions were different. To some extent, they had set up examples, to some other extent Comrade Ghosh also had set examples. But among these leaders, Lenin's role was the most important. He saved the revolutionary soul of Marxism by exposing and defeating the renegade leaders of the Second International and developed, enriched and brought the understanding of Marxism to a new height in the era of imperialism and proletarian revolution. This development and enrichment is called Leninism.

You must understand how our Party was formed by successfully applying Marxism-Leninism on the Indian soil. The new generation should know all these things. Otherwise there will not be any proper education. Today, joining the SUCI(C) is a privilege. It is an honour. In West Bengal and in some other states, the Party is very much

appreciated, respected and admired by the people. But ordinary comrades are not educated properly. People say: 'Your Party is honest, your Party is sincere, your Party is struggling and your comrades are very good in nature, character, and behaviour. But many comrades fail to answer why we are honest, dedicated, sincere, why our Party is fighting and protesting against injustice and oppression. What is the source? The source is Comrade Shibdas Ghosh's teachings. The source is Marxism-Leninism-Shibdas Ghosh Thought. Are the parties whom they take to be communist parties because of the media publicity, i.e. the CPI, CPI(M), real communists? No. Are they real Marxists? No. We are the real Marxists and real communists. And from that point of view, we are the only struggling left, militant left force. The parties named above don't even reflect leftism in the truest sense. Often our cadres miss to point out these cardinal aspects. But to put it correctly, why today the CPI(M) are found to be so opportunist and why do they avoid struggles? Is it accidental?

### Degeneration of CPI, CPI (M)

Actually they were never a Marxist Party. Earlier, they were honest. Sometimes they had joined movements also. The mistakes they had committed earlier during the freedom struggle were due to wrong understanding of Marxism. But those were honest mistakes. But today we cannot say that the CPI or the CPI (M) are committing honest mistakes. Earlier, though they were social democrats, they were militant. Today they have lost even that character. The CPI (M), CPI, have become out and out election-oriented parties. This process started when they were saddled in power in Kerala in the year 1957. That was the beginning and it happened because they are not real communist parties. They are social democratic reformist parties.

The undivided CPI government in Kerala behaved just like a bourgeois government. They had opened fire on the cashew nut workers in the interest of the Birla House. In West Bengal, up to 1966, first the CPI and then the CPI (M) had joined militant left movement. After 1967, when the United Front government came to power, there was a radical change in their approach. Sharp differences

developed between our Party and the CPI (M). Lenin taught that the communists should join bourgeois parliament so long the people have illusion about parliament. That participation would be to make people disillusioned about the parliamentary system and expose the futility of bourgeois parliament. At the same time, Lenin advised to develop class struggles and mass movements outside the Parliament. But Lenin did not come across a situation where the communists could get a chance to form a government in a bourgeois set up. So the question of providing guideline in that respect did not arise at his time. It became the historical task on the part of Comrade Shibdas Ghosh to enunciate the guideline, the correct line to be followed by the communists, as revolutionaries as well as militant leftists, while running the government. He said there must be a difference between the bourgeois approach and our approach in running a government. The bourgeois approach is to ruthlessly suppress and crush class and mass struggles in the name of maintaining law and order.

Our approach must be completely opposite to it, i.e. encouraging and defending all class and mass struggles even if those are not legal but legitimate. So, police must not be allowed to intervene in and suppress these struggles. But the CPI (M) leadership differed with us. Then Comrade Shibdas Ghosh told them: 'We will not join the government. You form the government. We will support it conditionally from outside to prevent a Congress-led government'. At that time, the people of West Bengal were very much left-oriented. The cadres of the CPI(M) were also very much militant. The CPI (M) leaders felt that with this argument, if the SUCI (Communist) did not join the government, there would be problem for them. So, they had to accept it, thinking it would only be on paper but would not be implemented.

They allotted Labour Ministry to our Party, considering that the SUCI (Communist) would be unpopular as there would be labour unrest. From the Labour Ministry, being guided by Comrade Shibdas Ghosh, Comrade Subodh Banerjee declared the historic policy that the United Front government would not allow police to intervene in the legitimate democratic movements. As a result, there were waves after waves of movements in West

Bengal. Visibly perturbed, the industrial barons pressurized the CPI (M) and others to remove the SUCI (C) from the Labour Ministry. At that time, they could not oblige the industrial barons. But next time, in 1969, they did it and we were allotted the PWD ministry in the second United Front government. But still we remained in the government to implement the policy and to fight for the policy. In 1977, on the eve of the election, Jyoti Basu, the CPI(M) leader, declared in his radio speech: 'If we form a CPI(M)-led front government, there will be no more movements, disturbances and turmoil. There will be peace. Earlier, at the time of United Front governments, the SUCI (Communist) was there. They created all these problems. Now the CPI(M) has no unity with the SUCI(Communist).'

Whom was he assuring? The people? Had the people demanded it? No! He was assuring the industrialists, big business and reactionaries who, in turn, helped the CPI(M) to ride to power. The CPI (M) also, in turn, fulfilled their commitment.

### CPI (M) no less responsible for rise of communal BJP

During the 34 years of their uninterrupted rule in West Bengal, whatever the CPI(M) did, they did in the interest of the multinationals, industrial houses, and the big business and thus made leftism unpopular. West Bengal was the soil of leftism since the days of freedom movement. Communism was also very popular here due to revolutionary tradition of freedom struggle and the impact of Soviet socialism. During their 34 years of rule, the CPI (M) maligned leftism and communism here. They became so unpopular that people of West Bengal now consider the BJP which had no existence earlier in the state, as the alternative to the TMC.

The CPI (M) is not in viewed as the alternative. Let me recall how Comrade Shibdas Ghosh in 1969 gave a warning to the CPI (M) about the rightist upsurge. "In this situation ... religious fundamentalists like the Jan Sangh (predecessor of the present day BJP) are waiting in the wings. As soon as whatever attraction the people still have towards left movement will be undermined, they would come out in the open. The ruling CPI(M) leaders are not conscious of this danger ... They are thus pulling down the prestige of communism and maligning it." (Some Aspects of United Front Politics and Party Work)

*Contd. on page 4*

**Comrade Provash Ghosh's speech****Undivided CPI did not stand by Netaji Subhash but supported compromising Gandhite leadership***Contd. from page 3***Wrong formulations of CPI, CPI (M), Naxalites**

Another point also I want to mention here. Comrade Shibdas Ghosh, from the very beginning, had pointed out that with achievement of political independence, power was transferred from the British imperialist rulers to the Indian national bourgeoisie through compromise. He also characterized independent India as a developed capitalist state. So, he enunciated that stage of revolution in India is that of anti-capitalist socialist revolution. The undivided CPI, then the CPI(M), and the Naxalites still harbour their wrong theoretical line of people's democratic revolution. Although, as a matter of fact, the word 'Revolution' is now forgotten by them. According to their formulation - the main enemy is imperialism and feudalism, while the national bourgeoisie is progressive and an ally of revolution. But the fact is that Indian capitalism has not only developed monopoly capital but has even given birth to finance capital and multinational corporations. It is exporting capital to other countries. Indian monopolists, Indian multinationals are setting up industries in other countries also through export of capital. Thus India has become an expansionist power, an imperialist power. But the CPI (M), CPI, Naxalites still cling to their old concept and prattle the slogan of People's Democratic Revolution.

**How secularism has been distorted by CPI(M), CPI**

At the initial stage, it was a mistake on their part. Now, it is their tactics, anyhow to find progressive bourgeoisie, either in the Congress or in other parties, to enter into alliance with them. Even they had in the past combined with the BJP also. Can they deny the reason why and how religious fanaticism and communalism could raise their ugly heads? Is it only because of the RSS-BJP? Yes, the RSS and BJP are no doubt responsible. But the Indian National Congress is mostly responsible. The Congress not only compromised politically with imperialism and shunned the path of armed revolution, they, in the field of culture, compromised with religion as well. In fact, ours was a religion-oriented freedom struggle. Gandhiji was like a religious prophet. The

Congress did not follow the uncompromising trend of Renaissance of our country, which was initiated by Rammohan Roy and carried forward further by Vidyasagar. The National Congress did not fight medieval orthodox feudal tradition and culture. That is why the soil has not been freed from Hindu sentiment, Muslim sentiment and caste sentiment. So-called lower castes, or *dalits* did not join the freedom struggle. They combined with the Muslim league. Because the movement led by the Congress was considered by them as an upper caste Hindu movement. When Congress came in to power, they engineered communal riots on several occasions. The Congress Prime Minister, Rajiv Gandhi, arranged to open the gate of Babri Masjid to initiate Rama puja. Can all these be called secular acts?

**RSS-Hindu Mahasabha opposed freedom struggle and supported British rulers**

The RSS is a diehard Hindutva-oriented organization. Many people do not know that the RSS opposed the freedom struggle because it was not for establishing a Hindu state. Even the RSS characterized the freedom movement as reactionary and held that the freedom fighters were not patriotic. I can quote from M S Golwalkar, the RSS theoretician, to prove that. Can the RSS- BJP deny that they opposed the 1942 August uprising and 1945 Bombay Naval Mutiny? Can they deny that Mr. Savarkar, another RSS theorist, had sent mercy petition to the British rulers from Andaman jail with the assurance to serve the British Empire and, on being released, had appealed to the Indian youths to join the British Army which was then ruthlessly crushing freedom struggles while Netaji Subhash Chandra was fighting against the British government? Was it not Mr. Savarkar who first demanded partition of the country, which was later endorsed by the Muslim League and followed by communal riots? Now they are distorting history and camouflaging themselves as patriots.

**RSS-BJP do not represent Hinduism either**

The RSS was and is opposed to Indian Renaissance. The Indian Renaissance was initiated by

Rammohan although he believed in Vedanta. But, at the same time, he said, 'studying Vedanta will not help younger generation, because Vedanta does not recognize the objective world. So science should be studied'. Rammohan said further: 'Sanskrit education pushed us back by thousands of years. So, Sanskrit learning should not be there. English education should be introduced.' Vidyasagar went further ahead and boldly declared: 'Vedanta and Sankhya are false. Western materialist philosophies are to be taught to prove before the Indian students that Vedanta and Sankhya are false systems of philosophy.' The RSS is opposed to this. It highlights these religious philosophies and encourages Sanskrit education. Thus the RSS is opposed to Renaissance also. The RSS does not even practise real Hinduism. If you accept Chaitanya, Ramakrishna and Vivekananda as Hindu religious preachers, you should accept the fact that none of them demanded Babri Masjid to be demolished considering it as the birthplace of Rama. Tulsidas' 'Ram Charit Manas' has no mention of Babri Masjid having been built being at the birthplace of Ram. Even Valmiki Ramayana which is stated to have been written before Ram's birth, did not indicate any specific site as birthplace of Ram. Chaitanya embraced all - Hindus, Muslims and people belonging to all castes. That was the appeal of Chaitanya. Ramakrishna went to Mosque to offer namaz, had prayed in church. In a simple way he said, 'We say JAL, Christians say WATER, Muslims say PAANI- all are the same. So is God, Bhagwan or Allah. There is no difference among them.' Vivekananda even said: "*If I had a son, .... It is very natural that simultaneously with full freedom and without having any conflict, my son could be a Buddhist, my wife a Christian and myself a Muslim.*" (Message and writings, Volume III) Vivekananda further said: 'We respect all religions. To me both Krishna and Mohammed are the same.' If Chaitanya, Ramakrishna and Vivekananda were Hindus, then can you say that the RSS-BJP are preaching Hinduism?

**Cause of development of communal forces**

But how could the communal ideas and forces develop in India? Firstly, the Congress is responsible for this as I said earlier. The united CPI too did not fight communalism - casteism. A cultural movement was necessary at that time against feudal obscurantist ideas and

religious approach. Neither the Congress nor the CPI ever launched this movement. Rather, they supported partition of the country declaring that the Hindus and the Muslims belonged to two separate nations. Moreover, a movement of the students and youths had surged forth like a tide in 1974 under the banner of 'Navanirman Samiti' against the Indira government. This movement had started from Gujarat and gradually spread all over the Hindi belt in Northern India. After some time, Jayaprakash Narayan joined this movement. From then onwards, it was called JP movement. Demands were democratic and large number of democratic minded students, youths and people participated in that movement. The RSS-Jan Sangh also joined the movement to exploit it to reap political advantage. Comrade Shibdas Ghosh then appealed to the CPI and CPI(M) to join the movement, as Lenin had joined the movement led by priest Gapon in 1905, knowing fully well that the leadership was in wrong hands.

But he joined the movement as the demands were legitimate, democratic and there was people's participation in that. But when Comrade Shibdas Ghosh told the CPI(M), CPI: 'Let us join the movement. We shall isolate the RSS-Jana Sangh and raise the banner of Leftism,' they did not respond. The CPI then openly supported Indira Congress while the support for Indira Congress by the CPI (M) was covert. So they refused to join the JP movement raising an argument that Rightists were there. Comrade Ghosh answered: 'Rightists are there because we Leftists are not there.' We criticized the CPI(M) on this point.

Thereafter, the CPI(M) had broken unity with us because we had criticized them, pointing out that they were avoiding movement against Indira Congress. But what happened later? Though they did not join the JP movement on the plea of the RSS-Jana Sangh being there, yet, when in 1977 election was announced and the Janata Party was formed with the rightist parties like the Congress (O), RSS, Jana Sangh, Lohiaite Socialists etc., the CPI(M) felt that they could win in the elections if they would combine with the Janata Party. So, they did not waste time and joined hands with them for electoral gains. Then, they supported Morarji-led government, knowing fully well that Vajpayee, Advani from Jana Sangh had been in the ministry. Next time, when VP Singh, a Congress

*Contd. on page 5*

**Comrade Provash Ghosh's speech****Comrade Shibdas Ghosh enunciated the correct line to be followed by the communists while running government in bourgeois set up***Contd. from page 4*

dissident, assumed power dethroning Rajiv Gandhi, both the BJP and the CPI(M) unitedly supported VP Singh. At that time, a meeting was held in Calcutta Maidan, addressed by both Jyoti Basu and Vajpayee. Moreover, the CPI (M) won Calcutta Corporation election with the support of the BJP. This is history. Was it a fight against communalism? Or it was, indeed, sheer opportunism.

**CPI (M)'s opportunistic vote politics**

In this way, the CPI (M) prepared the ground for the BJP to come. What happened in the last parliament election in West Bengal? When the CPI (M) felt there was no chance of their victory, they advised their followers to vote for the BJP. "Now, Ram, then Bam (Left)!"— that was the slogan. The people of West Bengal know it. Here 'Ram' means the BJP. 'Then Bam' - means 'next would be the Left'. They felt if the BJP was victorious against the incumbent TMC, they would have a chance to win in the next election. In this way, the BJP secured a good number of left votes. Many CPI(M) followers rallied behind the BJP. What did the CPI (M) do in the last assembly election in the state? Not only had they combined with the Congress, they discovered a new 'secular' ally in a Muslim cleric named Pirzada Abbas Siddiqui who floated a new Party ISF just before the election. So, they formed a 'secular' front with the Congress and the ISF branding the latter also as a secular outfit. The sole objective of joining hands with the ISF was to secure Muslim votes. So, now, the CPI (M) has become an out and out vote-oriented party, just like other bourgeois parties.

They have become an out and out nationalist party also. This time they have decided to hoist the national flag on 15th August. They inaugurated their new Delhi office also on Gandhiji's birthday. They observe Ambedkar Jayanti. Their only line is how to win some seats in the Assembly and Parliament by aligning with all other bourgeois parties who are in opposition.

The Congress is a trusted party of the ruling bourgeoisie. This is known to all. Other parties are regional, casteist or parochial parties. The CPI(M) is their ally. Are they secular? Is the Congress

secular? What is secularism? Does merely being anti-BJP make one a protagonist of secularism? It is true that a real secular force is definitely anti-BJP. But it does not mean that those who are opposed to the BJP only to win elections are secular. Secularism, in proper sense, means that religion will not have any connection with politics, education, cultural and social affairs. It will remain only a personal affair. Do these parties practise these things? No, none of them. They also indulge in religious practices, casteist and communal practices. But the CPI, CPI(M) are branding them as secular. Because it suits them; it suits their electoral purpose. Who are backing the CPI(M), CPI? Go through the newspaper. Corporate sectors are contributing crores of rupees to them. Yes, the corporates contribute more to the BJP and the Congress than to the CPI(M), CPI. But they do contribute. Is it for organizing revolution against them or to serve them? That is the point.

**World capitalism has no clue how to stave off growing insolvable crisis**

Comrades, internationally and nationally, we are placed in a very grim situation. Present day capitalism is not only decadent but in its moribund stage. It is dying capitalism. Dying does not mean it will die by itself. No social system dies by itself. It is to be replaced by revolution. That is the law of history.

World capitalism is writhing in the grip of ever deepening, everlasting, ever expanding recession. Throughout the world, lakhs of industries are being closed down, crores of workers are being retrenched. Crores of people are unemployed. Crores of people are begging in the street. There is no solution, because maximization of profit of the monopoly capital and the multinationals have caused maximum exploitation of the people. Purchasing power of the people is squeezed. So, there is no market. Bourgeois economists are practically in the doldrums. Even some are suggesting to apply Keynes' theory i.e. employing some workers to dig a pit, and then again engaging some other workers to fill up the pit. Thus, unemployment will be reduced and purchasing power of people will increase. That is the suggestion (!). Some are suggesting to give three to five thousand rupees to everyone to boost their purchasing power for a

year, or for a few months. Can the State provide this amount of money for all the time? No. These are all absurd suggestions.

So, bourgeois economy is sinking. Neither any homeopathic, allopathic, ayurvedic medicine, nor any quackery can save it. Workers are mercilessly exploited, permanency of job is almost abolished. Instead, a contractual system has been introduced. Migrant labourer is a new term which was unknown earlier. Outsourcing i.e., capital running to the areas where raw materials and workers are cheapest, has been introduced. There are bonded labourers and child labourers. There is no time limit for work. There is no fixed wage. Nothing of the sort. This is the picture of the entire world. Militarization of economy is going on in full swing. Why? Because, as consumer market is squeezed, they have been artificially boosting militarization of economy which, in turn, enhances taxation and pushes up price line further. All these are happening. Politically there is no democracy, no liberty, no freedom. Liberty, freedom, democracy are there only for the monster capitalist class to exploit the people. They are enjoying unbridled liberty. But there is no liberty for the people. Parliamentary democracy has become a fascist autocracy. There is no question of 'by the people, for the people, of the people'. It has now become 'by the moneybags, for the moneybags, of the moneybags'. The bourgeois leaders are hypocrites and fraudsters. They befool the people. They mislead the people.

**Cultural degeneration engendered by crisis-ridden capitalism**

And the most severe is the attack on the domain of ethics and morality. Capitalism is immoral. Religious morality is no more there. The morality that developed at the time of freedom struggle is also no more there. The rulers are destroying the thinking faculty of the younger generation, intellectual faculty of the younger generation so that nobody can raise any question, nobody can organize any protest. They are being turned into something like animals, or even worse than animals. So, they are encouraging alcohol and drug addiction. They are promoting blue films and sex-perversion. Why are

these things happening? Why is there a spurt in rape, gang rape and murder? Even a six month old girl, six year old girl, or ninety year old woman are not spared. Who are creating these criminals? Are they human beings? In physical appearance, they might be human being but in conduct and behaviour they are worse than animals. Even in the animal world, there is no rape and gang rape. These rapists and criminals are products of capitalism. Capitalism dehumanizes them, destroys human essence and morality in them. There is no peace, no mutual understanding in family life. Married life is breaking down due to conflict between husband and wife. Where is that sweet home? Children are being ruined. They are not getting fatherly or motherly affection. In Western countries, a trend has developed among a section of women to not become mothers. To them it is a botheration. Instead of married life, a good number of the younger generation, in particular, in Western countries prefer to stay in live-in relationship. 'Let us be in a live-in relationship; Let us enjoy sex'-that is the mindset. They are habituated to enjoy life in night club. Who has been encouraging all these? Capitalism. Psychological disease, psychosis, paranoia, depression, mentality to commit suicide—all these are increasing. The entire human civilization is dying.

**Danger of global warming and air pollution**

Another disaster is also taking place. Yesterday you might have seen a report in the newspaper about global warming being caused by excessive emission of greenhouse gases. What is going on? Fossil fuel energy utilization is going on beyond permissible limit causing severe air pollution. Because of global warming, sea water level is rising and swallowing land. Temperature of sea water is also rising. Thus, this very planet is being endangered by capitalism. The capitalist-imperialist rulers are not ready to lower greenhouse gas emission. So, there are more rains, more floods, more droughts. Glaciers are destroyed, icebergs are melting. All these are due to capitalism. What a danger it has posed before mankind! Profit greedy capitalism does not bother at all for all such destructive activities!

*Contd. on page 6*

**Comrade Provash Ghosh's speech**

## Both Congress and undivided CPI supported partition, opposed August 1942 uprising

*Contd. from page 5*

### Capitalism is responsible for deadly spread of corona

There is still a question about the place of origin of corona pandemic. That is to be investigated. But how did it spread? It is due to capitalism. The disease sprouted in Wuhan, China. When it was detected first, had there been a decision to cut off Wuhan from the entire world by suspending all to and fro traffic, the disease could not have spread this way. But Wuhan is a business hub of all monopoly houses and multinationals. So there was no suspension of traffic. And so the pandemic has spread throughout the world. And there is no joint effort to contain it. The capitalist-imperialist countries are spending huge amount of money for defence build-up. Had they decided to stop all military production, taxed all the monopoly houses more to garner huge amount of money and allotted the entire money so collected to employ all scientists and medical persons to discover and produce medicines for Covid-19 disease, the situation would have been different.

### Only Marxism-Leninism-Shibdas Ghosh Thought can bring about desired emancipation

So, capitalism is the worst enemy of mankind. Who can save the mankind from this unprecedented crisis? Again, the only solution comes from Marxism-Leninism-Shibdas Ghosh Thought, from socialism. True that socialism has been dismantled. There are definite reasons for that. For its final victory, a new system, a new ideology takes much time. For example, it took hundreds of years of struggle for religious movements-whether of Hinduism, Christianity or Islam-which were perceived to be carrying the message of God, to achieve victory. Likewise, starting from Renaissance to the advent of Parliamentary democracy, it took about 350 years for bourgeois democracy to score final victory. And socialism is a system to end all class rules. Class rule started from slavery. From slavery to capitalism, class exploitation has been continuing. Only the forms have changed. So, class exploitation has been there for thousands of years. Socialism, which is to end class exploitation for good, existed for 70 years only and then suffered a reverse which is temporary. Marxism never claims that once

socialism is established, the possibility of going back to capitalism again is ruled out. Marx himself said that 'socialism, the first stage of communism, is a transitional phase between capitalism and communism. It can proceed to communism or it can go back to capitalism. That is why dictatorship of the proletariat is necessary.' As time is short, I will not elaborate on this question.

Humanity is now placed in a situation where either more crisis, more destruction, more impoverishment, more deaths, more beggary, more starvation, more suicides, more retrenchment, more unemployment, more splitting of families, and extinction of love, affection, finer human feelings will continue or all these maladies, aberrations or injustice should end. Entire humanity is crying for emancipation which only can be brought about by socialist revolution. Again it is the question of correct application of Marxism-Leninism-Shibdas Ghosh Thought and developing a socialist movement. That is the urgent need of the hour. As Lenin took lesson from the Paris Commune, and made revolution successful in Soviet Union, we should also take lesson from the crises and fall of socialism in Soviet Union and China. We should build up socialism and guard it in such a way that restoration of capitalism does not happen.

My next point is that once Lenin raised the banner of Marxism when it was in crisis following degradation of Second International. Lenin saved Marxism, developed and enriched it further with new contributions responding to the new needs of the new epoch, i.e. the era of imperialism and proletarian revolution. And then he made socialist revolution successful in Russia. And that revolution was protected by Stalin. Stalin was a leader next to Lenin. He defined Leninism as Marxism in the era of imperialism and proletarian revolution. All the great men of that period, starting from Romain Rolland, Bernard Shaw, Einstein and in our country, Rabindranath, Saratchandra, Subash Bose, Bhagat Singh, Premchand, Subramania Bharati and others hailed Stalin as a great man. And what socialism contributed in the Soviet Union in seventy years was extolled by them. Rolland said, if Soviet Socialism is destroyed, human civilization will plunge in darkness. After visiting

Soviet Union, a man like Rabindranath who was not a communist said: "...had I not come to Russia, my life's pilgrimage would have remained incomplete... I see the shape of a new era for mankind in that hermitage (Socialist Russia) and so I became full of joy and hope...nowhere else in the history of mankind did I observe such a permanent cause of joy and hope" ('Letters from Russia' and 'letter written to Amiya Chakraborty in 1939') What Romain Rolland said, we are witnessing that today.

We should remember that what was once done by great Lenin, Stalin and Mao Zedong is now to be accomplished by us. History has bestowed that task upon our Party, the Party founded by great Marxist thinker Comrade Shibdas Ghosh, to save the nobility of Marxism Leninism, as interpreted by Shibdas Ghosh, to save the nobility of internationalism and to save the nobility of socialism. We can do it by strengthening our Party and by helping the real communists of other countries also.

### Some notable incidents of Comrade Shibdas Ghosh's struggling life

At the end, allow me to tell you some of his teachings and acts which are known only to a few comrades and mostly unknown to many others, with a view to rousing the conscience of mine and you all. When in 1974, our senior leader Comrade Subodh Banerjee was hospitalized in serious condition, preparation for holding a school of politics was complete. In the West Bengal Party secretariat meeting, difference developed as to whether to hold or cancel this school of politics. Comrade Shibdas Ghosh heard about this difference and intervened with these words, 'When you are in revolutionary battle and if at that time you find me dying, will you stop the battle?' When he was addressing the condolence meeting after the death of Comrade Subodh Banerjee, which was published as 'Revolutionary Tribute to a Revolutionary Character' it was felt by us that not only he was discussing on Comrade Subodh Banerjee, it was also a speech along with his last appeal to prepare the minds of the comrades to face boldly when his death would take place. Discipline of grief-stricken funeral procession of Comrade Subodh Banerjee was highly admired by the people. But

Comrade Shibdas Ghosh observed some minor lack of discipline among a group of newcomer villagers in the procession. Next day, he told me: 'When you would organize next funeral procession, you should depute some volunteers among the newcomers'. Instantly, I felt he was advising me how to organize his funeral procession in future. What a great revolutionary he was! He had identified himself so much with revolution and revolutionary party that he could contemplate how even his funeral procession should serve revolution and the Party!

On 21 June 1975, he addressed the delegate session of a youth conference in West Bengal. That was his last public speech as on 26 June emergency was clamped down by Congress government. His speech was published as 'Mass movement and task of the youth'. At that time, he was feeling that his days were numbered although his age was merely fifty two. For the first time he said: "I am not very old. But my health has already broken down from working untiringly to rear up party workers and develop the party. But all this has been for the sake of advancing the cause of revolution itself in this country. ...I have been actively involved in political movements for long forty two years. Out of that, for thirty years I strove working tirelessly to build up the SUCI as a new type of party, a genuine Marxist-Leninist party on this soil." At the end he had to say, "I am unwell and short of breath. I feel very tired. I cannot continue with the speech any longer. The rest will be explained to you by your leaders." We never heard this type of comments from him. In fact, not only had he addressed many public meetings, gatherings of Party workers, he also used to have individual as well as group discussions with the comrades, every day, for long time.

Another incident I am to tell. At the time of emergency, I was invited by one intellectual Mr. Bharat Jhunjhunwala to attend a group meeting in Bangalore. I was hesitant due to my poor knowledge of English language. But Comrade Shibdas Ghosh encouraged me. I went there and on my way back I dropped at Kurnool in Andhra Pradesh to have a group sitting in a slum area. When I was discussing, all of a sudden, all of us were arrested by plain-clothed police. I felt danger, not for me, but for those newcomers who would be implicated in false charge of preparing bombs and other crimes. At that moment, I recalled Comrade

*Contd. on page 8*

## Bad Bank, new avenue for corporates to swindle public money

Of late, the BJP government has announced the formation of India's first-ever "Bad Bank". Named "National Asset Reconstruction Company Limited" (NARCL). Another entity - India Debt Resolution Company Ltd (IDRCL) has also been set up. The NARCL-IDRCL structure is the new Bad Bank. What is a Bad Bank? As we know, commercial banks accept deposits and extend loans. The deposits are a bank's "liability" because that is the money it has taken from common men, and it will have to return that money when the depositors ask for it. Moreover, in the interim, it has to pay the depositors an interest on those deposits. In contrast, the loans that banks give out are their "assets" because this is where the banks earn interest and this is money that the borrowers have to return to the bank. The whole business model of the banks is premised on the assumption that a bank will earn more money by extending loans to the borrowers than what it would have to pay back to the depositors. But if the borrowers do not repay loan (both principal and interest), then the bank is in trouble. If the quantum of bad loans is not that much, the bank can afford to take such knocks and pay the interest to the depositors from its surplus or profit earning. But if the "bad loans" or NPAs rise alarmingly, the bank could sink. If almost all the banks face such a scenario, the whole economy would be threatened. That is exactly what has happened in our country.

Officially, the amount of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) or defaulted loans of the banks is around Rs 8.34 lakh crores as on date. But in an interview to 'First Post', Dr K C Chakrabarty, former Deputy Governor of RBI said: "I'll put the figure around Rs 20 lakh crore...One should include all troubled loans including reported bad loans, restructured assets, written off loans and bad loans that are not yet recognised." Incidentally, as per report published in March 2020, the size of system level corporate loan book stands at around Rs 64 lakh crore. In other words, 1/3rd of corporate loans are defaulted or, as classified technically, 'under stress'.

Why is this default? As per RBI report, the bad-loan ratio is supposed to have almost double to 13.5% of total advances by the end of last September. Moreover, who are the major defaulters? Not the common people who seek loans for purchasing houses, cars, motor cycles or household items but the industrial tycoons or corporate giants. India's top 30 defaulters account for a third of the gross non-performing assets (NPAs) in the banking sector, according to data obtained from the RBI through a right to information (RTI) request. But the refused to make public the list of loan defaulters with public sector banks despite an order of the Supreme Court in to make this information public. Former RBI Governor Raghuram Rajan said he, during his tenure, had submitted a list of high-profile fraud cases to the Prime Minister's Office urging coordinated action to bring at least one or two to book. Clearly, there is not only default of loan but even a large scale fraud plaguing the banking system.

Notably, if a small individual borrower or

small scale business entity default repayment of bank loans, they are harassed, threatened even physically assaulted by the so called recovery agents of the banks. Also, the securities hypothecated for availing of the loan are confiscated. But when the big corporates who take loans of thousands of crores of rupees and then feature as defaulters, both the bank authorities and the bourgeois government take a lenient view. The default is often condoned by way of writing off the debts in the books of the banks. It is reported in the media that the total bad loans written off in the last eight years stand at Rs 10.83 lakh crore. RBI has admitted that "reduction in non-performing assets (NPAs)...was largely driven by write-offs [of] NPAs older than four years."

But even after all such write-offs (meaning virtually allowing the monopoly houses and multinationals to simply walk out with the funds of the banks accruing from the hard-earned savings of the common people), there is no respite from the soaring graph of NPAs. A study of top 500 private sector companies showed that about Rs 10.5 lakh crore of their debt could turn vulnerable, which means borrowers could face difficulty in servicing these loans. These 500 debt-heavy borrowers have an outstanding loan book of Rs 39.28 lakh crore. Out of this, the existing default amounts to Rs 7.35 lakh crore loans. If the economic slowdown continues, the entire Rs 39 odd crores would be NPAs.

Notwithstanding all these findings and showering of amnesties on them by the government in the form of loan waivers, tax reductions and exemptions, the defaulter corporates and multinationals are pestering for fresh loans and that too at lower interest. The BJP Prime Minister has already stated that the monopolists are 'wealth creators' and hence they must be taken care of. How? As the banks suffer because of growing NPAs, the government often pumps in capital from public exchequer to these banks through 'recapitalization' route. From the taxpayer's perspective, the most worrisome fact is that the government is using their money to improve the financial health of the PSBs which are made sick by the big corporates by not repaying loan. Secondly, the bank interest is being progressively lowered. Already the banks have virtually halved the interest on the bank deposits by common people in last 10 years to make funds available to the borrowers (read large corporates and MNCs) at a cheaper rate. In fact, interest on bank deposits has been so much reduced that earning has become negative if one takes inflation

(rise in price) into consideration. So, if a bank FD offers a return of 6 per cent per annum and inflation is also 6 per cent, the net return is almost zero. At times, when FD rates are lower or the inflation is higher, the investment ends up earning a negative return. If a bank FD gives 5% return, TDS (Tax Deducted at Source) is 10%, and inflation rate is 5% then the real rate of return comes out to be negative 0.5 per cent. That is the position of the common bank depositors many of whom are retired senior citizens. So, the brunt of bailing out or aiding the corporate behemoths is borne by the common people in various ways. While they are being deceived in such manners, the government cares a fig because to it, the interest of the monopolist giants and MNCs is prime and sole.

Even after all these measures, NPAs are rising making the banks inching towards non-viability, if not delinquency. And the pressure for fresh loan from the corporate houses is mounting despite they being not eligible for that because of their track record of large scale default. So, the idea of floating a Bad Bank surfaced to artificially cleaning bank books of defaulted loans. What would be the modus operandi of this Bad Bank?

The NARCL will first purchase bad loans from banks. It will pay 15% of the agreed price in cash and the remaining 85% will be in the form of "Security Receipts". When the assets are sold, with the help of IDRCL, the commercial banks would be paid back the rest. If the Bad Bank is unable to sell the bad loans, or has to sell those at a loss, then the government guarantee would be invoked and the difference between what the commercial bank was supposed to get and what the bad bank was able to raise would be paid from the Rs 30,600 crore that has been provided by the government. Again this provision for financing the difference would be from tax payer's money. In such a queer way, bank books would be cleaned from toxic assets and the banks would start lending again even to the big defaulters. It is stated that if the Bad Bank fails to recover the defaulted loan amount, they would seize the movable and immovable properties of the loanees. Why is it needed a Bad Bank for that? The lending banks themselves can do that as per existing rule. Why they do not do that is inexplicable.

As mentioned above, it is nothing but a ploy to 'regularise' planned plunder of public money placed with the banks by the corporate sector. On the pretext of recession and loss, the corporates are straightway embezzling public fund with the government as indulgent onlooker. And then the government with the help of the economists and experts on its pay-roll discover weird means to open fresh window for such squandering of public money. So Bad Bank is good for the monopoly houses and multinationals and not just bad but worse for the common people.

**Mahapanchayat organized at Rajim, Chhattisgarh, on 28 September, in support of the ongoing peasants' movement against three Black Farm Laws and ruinous Electricity (Amendment) Bill 2021**



**Comrade Satyawan, President, AIKKMS, addressing (inset)**

**Comrade Provash Ghosh's speech**

**History has bestowed the task of upholding the banner of Marxism-Leninism upon our Party founded, reared and steered by Comrade Shibdas Ghosh**

*Contd. from page 6*

Shibdas Ghosh's teaching to remain calm and apply intelligence tactfully to handle the situation. I did that and was successful to release all of them. I was very delighted. When I came back to Kolkata, I reported the incident to our leader Comrade Sachin Banerjee in the morning at our Party office. In the evening Comrade Shibdas Ghosh came to office. Already he had heard about the incident from Comrade Sachin Banerjee. He told me with affection, 'You have done well'. I answered: 'If we get you for at least ten more years, we will be able to win the entire country'. He looked at me intently and then said, 'What I have done, would you not be able to take that forward?' I answered, 'Yes comrade, we will.'

On 1 August 1976, just four days before his death, there was a group talk in the morning at Tala commune in Kolkata. Incidentally I was present there. He was discussing on music, how tune of music touches a man. Suddenly he said, 'You can read my speeches, quote from those and audience may applaud you. But my dream will not be fulfilled if those do not come from the core of your heart based on the feeling for the oppressed people, as those came in my mind.'

On 5 August 1976, the day of our profound grief, we, few leaders and comrades, along with the doctor, were by the side of his bed. From morning to evening, he suffered several heart strokes. But he endured silently in spite of severe pain. When he felt that there was no more time, and the doctor was weeping, he put his hand on the doctor's back to console him and then looked at all the comrades surrounding him with a silent appeal to carry forward his mission. Then he breathed his last.

Comrades, these things are always haunting my mind and asking my conscience how much I am carrying forward his revolutionary mission. On this occasion, let us collectively take the vow that up to the last drop of our blood, we will continue to struggle hard to fulfil his dreams by educating and remoulding ourselves based on his revolutionary teachings. That will be the only real homage to the great Marxist thinker, leader of the proletariat Comrade Shibdas Ghosh.

**Red Salute to great Marxist Thinker Comrade Shibdas Ghosh!**  
**Red Salute to all other comrades!**  
**Inquilab Zindabad!**  
**SUCI (Communist) Zindabad!**  
**Workers of all countries unite!**

**First Chhattisgarh State AIDYO conference was held on 3 October at Durg. A 16-member strong AIDYO Chhattisgarh State Committee was elected in the conference. Seated with others are Comrades Prativa Nayek, General Secretary, AIDYO, (extreme left first row) and Vishwajeet Hadode, Chhattisgarh State Secretary, SUCI(C), (second from left).**



**Impressive gathering at the 9th Hyderabad district conference of AIDSO on 29 September 2021**

**Observe Protest Day on 5 October 2021 to decry brutal murder of peasants in UP**

*Comrade Provash Ghosh, General Secretary, SUCI (Communist) issued this statement on 3 October 2021:*

We vehemently condemn the murder of three protesting farmers in Lakhimpur Kheri of Uttar Pradesh, by the Union home minister of state and his son, uncle and other BJP goons today, 3 October 2021. We demand severe punishment of the culprits and adequate compensation to the families of the murdered peasants.

We urge upon the people to come forward and raise their voice of protest and observe protest day on 5 October 2021 across the country

**Ernakulam, Kerala**



**Madurai, Tamilnadu**



**AIMSS protests Lakhimpur Kheri killing**

In a statement dated 4 October 2021, All India Mahila Sanskritik Sangathana (AIMSS) strongly condemned ghastly killing of 3 protesting farmers at Lakhimpur Kheri, UP on 3rd of October 2021, demanded severe punishment of the guilty and called upon all the people including women to stand by the struggling farmers against the three Black Farm Acts imposed by the Central Government.



**Hyderabad, Telangana**

**Guna, MP**



**AIUTUC strongly condemns peasants' murder in Lakhimpur Kheri**

AIUTUC strongly condemned the brutal killing of at least three peasants protesting against anti- Black Farm Laws in Lakhimpur Kheri, UP, when a convoy of Union Home Minister of State and his son and associates deliberately ran over them on 3 October 2021.

AIUTUC called upon the working people to strongly protest this heinous crime and take part in All India Protest Day on 5 October.

**Nadia, West Bengal**



**EDITOR-IN-CHIEF : PROVASH GHOSH**